

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

**SPJST**

*Plaintiff,*

*v.*

**EQUISOFT, INC.,**

*Defendant.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**6:24-CV-00376-ADA-JCM**

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE’S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Jeffery C. Manske (ECF No. 14). The report recommends Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 4) be **DENIED AS MOOT**. The report and recommendation was filed on August 22, 2024.


A party may file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations of the magistrate judge within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation, thereby securing *de novo* review by the district court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). As of today, neither party has filed objections.

When no objections are timely filed, a district court reviews the magistrate judge’s report and recommendation for clear error. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 Advisory Committee’s Note (“When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”). The Court has reviewed the report and recommendation and finds no clear error.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Manske (ECF No. 14) is **ADOPTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Equisoft, Inc.’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint (ECF No. 4) is **DENIED**.

**SIGNED** this 10th day of September, 2024.



---

ALAN D ALBRIGHT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE